## U.S. is planning for nuclear first strike option WASHINGTON - Despite the candid title, "Outcome unlikely - assumptions, and repeated denials over the of Hypothetical U.S. First years, there is secret evi- Strikes, 1993." an adjustment for only 100

dence that U.S. military strategists are planning for a nuclear first strike option against the Soviet Union. The MX missile is an im-

have such a capability by and destruction on them. the early 1990s.

The story of our potential fense Department and the Arms Control and Disarmament Agency. My associate Dale Van Atta has obtained copies of these reports.

One secret ACDA docu-

some crucial assumptions dicates that after a first portant part of this plann- Soviet Union would "not MX missiles, not a single launch out from under the Soviet missile silo would A credible first-strike attack" - in other words, survive. force requires land-based, that the Russians would, for highly accurate missiles some reason, not launch capable of knocking out an their nuclear missiles at the enemy's offensive missiles first warning of a U.S. without serious retaliation. attack or while our missiles The United States could were raining down death

The equation also admitfirst-strike force is told in X-rays, neutron radiation, tedly "ignores the impact of electromagnetic pulse, thermal effectives (and) possible problems of variations in yield, (accuracy) and reliability of U.S.

ment includes a chart with favorable - not to say But with all these highly

MX missiles instead of 200. The chart incorporates the secret projection ininto its calculations. For one strike by our modified Minthing, it assumes that the uteman III, Trident II and

> According to a recent Pentagon report, the Russians now have a total of 1,398 missile silos at various locations. Planners figure

on two U.S. nuclear warheads to take out each Soviet silo. These would have to be warheads with "hard target kill capability," meaning able to destroy steel-and-concrete reinforced underground missile silos. (In macabre nuclear parlance, "soft" targets are cities - and, of course, people.)

Jack Anderson

missiles are 300 recently capable of dropping its upgraded Minuteman IIIs, warhead within 304 to 425 each carrying three feet of a target. No known warheads. That's enough - silo could withstand a is what the Kremlin's reacin theory — to wipe out 450 nuclear blast that close. The tion to this threat would be. MX missiles, with 10 As the ACDA report warns: The Navy is developing a warheads apiece, would put The "synergistic effects" of missile with the same capa- the United States over the Minuteman III improvebility, the D-5, for use in the top in destructive power. A Trident II submarine- secret disarmament agency launched missile system. report understates it this The 150 D-5s planned will way: "The addition of and together could have each have 10 warheads, for highly accurate MX missiles significant destabilizing eftheoretical "kill" score of would give the U.S. in- fects and a negative arms tercontinental ballistic controlimpact. The best U.S. hard-target super-accuate missile proved time-urgent hard- the Kremlin might not wait

target kill capability."

It's a matter of simple arithmetic: some 3,400 highly accurate nuclear warheads to aim at probably no more than 1,400 Soviet missile silos by the early 1990s. Those are the two missiles presumed necessary to take out each silo, with 600 left over to drop on the other "hard" targets, such as the Soviets' command-and-control bunkers.

ment, Trident II and MX "could put a large portion of

docilely for a future U.S. allegations of "program first-strike checkmate. mismanagement, nepotism "Under extreme crisis conditions," the report con-cludes, "Soviet leaders might perceive pressures to strike first."

WATCHDOG OR LAP DOG?: A feud between hospital management and the surgical staff at the Veterans Administration Medical Center in Leavenworth, Kan., led to an investigation by the VA's inspecter general that looks suspiciously like whitewash of management.

Dr. Mary F. McAnaw, chief of surgery for nine years, questioned an experimental drug-testing program involving psychiatric patients - and was demoted and transfered. Her charges Then comes the MX, a missile force a much im- In short, the report warns, whistle — led to an IG investigation of 58 specific covered up..."

favoritism and retribution against employees by mar agement."

In each case, the IG foun in favor of management.

Rep. Pat Schroeder, D Colo., read the IG repor and wrote to Deputy V Administrator Everet Alvarez Jr.: "For each allegation, there are facts ir dispute. In every case, the Inspector General resolves the factual dispute in favor of Leavenworth management and then baldly states that the allegation is without

Schroeder asked the VA to "read beyond the whitewash conclusions and try to find out whether there are - that she had been with the health and safety of patients, which should be dealt with, rather than